

Message Text

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 GENEVA 03654 01 OF 02 191507Z

44

ACTION ACDA-10

INFO OCT-01 AF-06 ARA-06 EUR-12 EA-06 NEA-10 IO-10 ISO-00

ACDE-00 SSO-00 NSCE-00 USIE-00 INRE-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00

PM-03 H-02 INR-07 L-02 NASA-01 NSAE-00 NSC-05 OIC-02

SP-02 PA-01 PRS-01 OES-03 SS-15 SAJ-01 NRC-05 /111 W

----- 092729

O R 191415Z MAY 75

FM USMISSION GENEVA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 3030

INFO AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS

AMEMBASSY CAIRO

AMEMBASSY CANBERRA

AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY LAGOS

AMEMBASSY MANILA

AMEMBASSY MEXICO

AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

AMEMBASSY OTTAWA

AMEMBASSY ROME

AMEMBASSY SEOUL

AMEMBASSY STOCKHOLM

AMEMBASSY TOKYO

USMISSION USUN NEW YORK

USMISSION NATO

USMISSION IAEA VIENNA

ERDA GERMANTOWN MD

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE SECTION 1 OF 2 GENEVA 3654

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: PARM

SUBJECT: NPT REVCON: COMMITTEE I SITUATION

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 GENEVA 03654 01 OF 02 191507Z

1. SUMMARY: THIS MESSAGE REPORTS ON STATE OF PLAY ON

THREE COMMITTEE ISSUES LIKELY TO GIVE US MOST DIFFICULTIES IN LAST TWO WEEKS OF CONFERENCE: SALT, NON-USE ASSURANCES, AND CTB. END SUMMARY.

2. FIRST COMMITTEE ITEMS. AMONG ISSUES CONSIDERED IN COMMITTEE I, WE CAN EXPECT MOST DIFFICULTY IN ACHIEVING ACCEPTABLE CONFERENCE CONSENSUS ON QUESTIONS OF FOLLOW-ON SALT NEGOTIATIONS, COMPREHENSIVE TEST BAN (CTB), AND SECURITY ASSURANCES. DRAFT ADDITIONAL PROTOCOLS HAVE NOW BEEN TABLED ON EACH OF THESE QUESTIONS, BUT PROTOCOLS THEMSELVES IN PRESENT FORM NOT LIKELY TO BE MAJOR PROBLEM. THERE IS RECOGNITION AMONG WESTERN, EASTERN, AND NUMBER OF NON-ALIGNED DELS THAT MATHEMATICAL FORMULAS IN SALT AND CTB PROTOCOLS ARE NOT REALISTIC MEANS OF ACHIEVING OBJECTIVES OF PROTOCOLS' CO-SPONSORS. ALSO, PROTOCOLS ARE ONLY OPEN TO ADHERENCE BY THREE DEPOSITARIES (SALT OPEN ONLY TO US AND USSR), AND THERE SEEMS TO BE GROWING UNDERSTANDING, EVEN AMONG SOME NON-ALIGNED, THAT PRESSING PROTOCOLS AGAINST WILL OF ALL THOSE ELIGIBLE TO ADHERE WOULD BE EMPTY GESTURE AND PERHAPS COUNTERPRODUCTIVE. IF CONFERENCE RECOMMENDATIONS URGING ADHERENCE TO PROTOCOLS WERE PUT TO VOTE (WITH TWO-THIRDS MAJORITY REQUIRED IF CONSENSUS NOT ACHIEVABLE) WE COULD PROBABLY MUSTER BLOCKING ONE-THIRD.

3. IT APPEARS MORE LIKELY, HOWEVER, THAT RATHER THAN PRESS CURRENT TEXTS TO A VOTE, CO-SPONSORS (PARTICULARLY OF SALT AND CTB PROTOCOLS) WILL ALTER SUBSTANTIVE APPROACH (MOVING AWAY FROM MATHEMATICAL FORMULAS), EITHER RETAINING PROTOCOL FORMAT OR PUTTING RECOMMENDATIONS INFORM OF RESOLUTIONS OR PARAGRAPHS IN FINAL DECLARATION. SHOULD THEY DO SO, WE WOULD STILL EXPECT PROPOSALS TO BE UNACCEPTABLE (PERHAPS INVOLVING CONDEMNATIONS OF SUPERPOWER FOOT-DRAGGING, DEADLINES, TIMETABLES, ETC); BUT IF ALTERED PROPOSALS GOT RID OF PATENTLY UNREALISTIC FEATURES OF PRESENT PROTOCOLS, WE COULD HAVE CONSIDERABLY MORE DIFFICULTY DEFEATING THEM. PROBLEMS ON SALT AND CTB WILL COME NOT JUST FROM NON-ALIGNED, BUT ALSO IN VARYING DEGREES FROM SEVERAL MEMBERS OF WEO GROUP, INCLUDING AUSTRALIA, NEW ZEALAND, LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 GENEVA 03654 01 OF 02 191507Z

IRELAND, CANADA, AND THE NETHERLANDS. PARAS 4 - 12 BELOW DEAL SEPARATELY WITH SALT, NON-USE AND CTB.

4. SALT. VIRTUALLY ALL NON-ALIGNED DELS AND SIGNIFICANT NUMBER OF WEOS HAVE MINIMIZED SALT ACHIEVEMENTS, CRITICIZED RATE OF PROGRESS, AND CALLED FOR RAPID REDUCTIONS IN VLADIVOSTOK LEVELS. MANY HAVE SAID FOLLOW-ON AGREEMENTS SHOULD INVOLVE QUALITATIVE CURBS

AS WELL AS REDUCTIONS IN NUMERICAL CEILINGS. WHILE SYMPATHY NOT GREAT FOR MECHANISTIC MEXICAN APPROACH TO REDUCTIONS SCHEDULE, SEVERAL DELS STILL WANT SOME SORT OF TIMETABLE. SWEDISH VIEW -- REJECTING "ARTIFICIAL" TIMETABLE BUT CALLING ON SUPERPOWERS TO PROVIDE "REALISTIC" ONE -- IS PERHAPS TYPICAL OF MODERATE NON-ALIGNED THINKING.

5. AUSTRALIANS, DUTCH, AND OTHERS HAVE WELCOMED US STATEMENT IN COMMITTEE I THAT WE LOOK FORWARD TO COMMENCEMENT OF FOLLOW-ON NEGOTIATIONS, AS SOON AS POSSIBLE FOLLOWING CONCLUSION OF SALT II AGREEMENT, ON FURTHER LIMITATIONS AND REDUCTIONS. SOVIETS HAVE MADE NO MENTION OF FOLLOW-ON NEGOTIATIONS OR POSSIBILITY OF REDUCTIONS AND, AS A RESULT, SEVERAL DELS HAVE PUBLICLY URGED SOVS TO MAKE STATEMENT SIMILAR TO THAT OF US. SOVDEL TOLD US PRIVATELY THEY ARE SEEKING INSTRUCTIONS TO TAKE POSITION SIMILAR TO OURS.

6 WHILE SOV POSITION HAS FOR TIME BEING KEPT HEAT OFF US, WE EXPECT PRESSURES FROM SOME WEOS AS WELL AS NON-ALIGNED TO GO BEYOND LINE WE HAVE TAKEN, PARTICULARLY IF SOVIET DEL IS AUTHORIZED TO BE LESS RIGID. SPECIFICALLY, WE ANTICIPATE BEING PRESSED FOR COMMITMENT ON RATE OF REDUCTIONS, BUT FEEL THAT MODERATE DELS (E.G., AUSTRALIA, NETHERLANDS, CANADA) WOULD ULTIMATELY BE SATISFIED WITHOUT SPECIFIC COMMITMENTS ON DATES OR LEVELS AS LONG AS WE COULD STRENGTHEN FORMULATION IN GENERAL WAY. AMONG SMALL MODIFICATIONS IN CURRENTLY AUTHORIZED FORMULA THAT MIGHT BE USEFUL IN OBTAINING ELEVENTH-HOUR CONSENSUS ARE (A) LANGUAGE RECOGNIZING THAT "FURTHER LIMITATIONS" SHOULD INCLUDE QUALITATIVE AS WELL AS QUANTITATIVE RESTRICTIONS (AS IN "BASIC LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 04 GENEVA 03654 01 OF 02 191507Z

PRINCIPLES" OF 1973 AND 1974 MOSCOW COMMUNIQUE, (B) LANGUAGE TO EFFECT THAT GOAL IS "SUBSTANTIAL" OR "SIGNIFICANT" REDUCTIONS, AND/OR (C) LANGUAGE EXPRESSING HOPE THAT FURTHER LIMITATIONS AND REDUCTIONS CAN BE ACHIEVED "AS SOON AS POSSIBLE". WE WILL, OF COURSE, CONTINUE TO ADHERE TO EXISTING FORMULA AND WILL REQUEST ADDITIONAL GUIDANCE ONLY WHEN AND IF IT BECOMES NECESSARY.

7 SECURITY ASSURANCES. TWO PROPOSALS ON SECURITY ASSURANCES ARE CURRENTLY IN PLAY: NON-ALIGNED (ROMANIAN) DRAFT ADDITIONAL PROTOCOL III (GENEVA 3618) AND IRANIAN DRAFT RESOLUTION ON NUCLEAR-FREE ZONES, CIRCULATING PRIVATELY, WHICH CALLS ON NUCLEAR POWERS TO UNDERTAKE COMMITMENT NOT TO USE OR THREATEN TO USE NUCLEAR WEAPONS AGAINST PARTIES TO NFZS. (IRANIAN DEL

HAS SHOWED US, BUT NOT HANDED OVER, COPY OF RES). NON-
ALIGNED STRONGLY SUPPORT NON-USE COMMITMENT APPLICABLE
WORLDWIDE, SUCH AS THAT IN ROMANIAN FORMULA. WEOS, WITH
EXCEPTION OF SWEDEN, HAVE GENERALLY TAKEN VIEW THAT IT
IS APPROPRIATE TO CONSIDER NON-USE ASSURANCES ONLY IN
CONTEXT OF NUCLEAR FREE ZONES. SINCE ROMANIAN FORMULA-
TION INCONCISTENT WITH NATO STRATEGY, WE PRESUME NATO
ALLIES ARE OPPOSED, ALTHOUGH IT IS NOT YET CLEAR WHETHER
THEY ARE PREPARED TO TAKE STRONG NEGATIVE STAND IN
PUBLIC.

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 GENEVA 03654 02 OF 02 191520Z

44

ACTION ACDA-10

INFO OCT-01 AF-06 ARA-06 EUR-12 EA-06 NEA-10 IO-10 ISO-00

ACDE-00 SSO-00 NSCE-00 USIE-00 INRE-00 CIAE-00 DODE-00

PM-03 H-02 INR-07 L-02 NASA-01 NSAE-00 NSC-05 OIC-02

SP-02 PA-01 PRS-01 OES-03 SS-15 SAJ-01 NRC-05 /111 W

----- 092882

O R 191415Z MAY 75

FM USMISSION GENEVA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC IMMEDIATE 3031

INFO AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY BURSELS

AMEMBASSY CAIRO

AMEMBASSY CANBERRA

AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY LAGOS

AMEMBASSY MANILA

AMEMBASSY MEXICO

AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

AMEMBASSY OTTAWA

AMEMBASSY ROME

AMEMBASSY SEOUL

AMEMBASSY STOCKHOLM

AMEMBASSY TOKYO

USMISSION USUN NEW YORK

USMISSION NATO

USMISSION IAEA VIENNA
ERDA GERMANTOWN MD

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE SECTION 2 OF 2 GENEVA 3654

8. WHILE SOVIETS HAVE HELD THAT EXISTING ASSURANCES (SC 255) ARE ADEQUATE, THEY HAVE NOT SO FAR EXPRESSED OPPOSITION TO NON-USE ASSURANCES. WE THEREFORE DO NOT KNOW THEIR REACTION TO ROMANIAN PROPOSAL, WHICH HAS LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 GENEVA 03654 02 OF 02 191520Z

ONE ELEMENT IN COMMON WITH "KOSYGIN FORMULA" OF 1966 (I.E., DISTINCTION BETWEEN NNWS WITH NUCLEAR WEAPONS ON THEIR TERRITORY AND THOSE WITHOUT). JAPANESE ARE SITTING BACK, WAITING FOR OPPORTUNE TIME TO SURFACE THEIR PROPOSAL ON NEGATIVE ASSURANCES.

9. IN ACCORDANCE WITH OUR INSTRUCTIONS, WE HAVE OPPOSED GENERALIZED NON-USE COMMITMENTS TO NNWS, MAINTAINING THAT SUCH ASSURANCES COULD UNDERCUT SECURITY OF ALLIED STATES AND QUESTIONING WHETHER SUCH ASSURANCES WOULD BE PRACTICAL AND EFFECTIVE MEANS OF ALLEVIATING REAL SECURITY CONCERNS OF KEY NNWS TO WHICH ASSURANCES LARGELY ADDRESSED. ON NON-USE ASSURANCES IN NFZ CONTEXT, WE HAVE STRESSED NEED IN EACH CASE TO DETERMINE WHETHER, GIVEN PREVAILING SECURITY SITUATION IN REGION, PROVISION OF NON-USE ASSURANCES WOULD MAKE POSITIVE CONTRIBUTION TO SECURITY OF AREA.

10. WE TOLD IRANIAN DEL THAT WE COULD NOT AGREE TO BLANK-CHECK COMMITMENT NOT TO USE NUCLEAR WEAPONS AGAINST PARTIES TO ANY FUTURE NFZ. IRANIAN DEL NONE-THELESS DECIDED TO CIRCULATE TEXT IN ORIGINAL FORM. AUSTRALIANS HAD TRIED UNSUCCESSFULLY TO PERSUADE IRANIANS TO USE FOLLOWING LANGUAGE IN DRAFT: "IN ASSOCIATION WITH THE CREATION OF SUCH INTERNATIONALLY RECOGNIZED NUCLEAR WEAPONS FREE ZONES IN APPROPRIATE REGIONS OF THE WORLD, THE NUCLEAR WEAPON STATES SHOULD GIVE SERIOUS CONSIDERATION TO ENTERING INTO COMMITMENTS NOT TO USE OR THREATEN TO USE NUCLEAR WEAPONS AGAINST STATES BELONGING TO SUCH ZONES." SOMETHING ALONG LINES OF AUSTRALIAN FORMULATION COULD POSSIBLY HEAD OFF MORE EXTREME IRANIAN PROPOSAL. WE WILL REQUEST AUTHORITY TO SUPPORT SPECIFIC COMPROMISE FORMULA WHEN AND IF NEEDED.

11. IT IS DIFFICULT TO PREDICT AT PRESENT TIME WHETHER CONSENSUS CAN BE ACHIEVED ON BASIS OF JAPANESE NEGATIVE ASSURANCE PROPOSAL IN LIEU OF ROMANIAN DRAFT PROTOCOL.

WE EXPECT WE WILL HAVE TO SEEK AUTHORITY AT LATER STAGE
TO SUPPORT LANGUAGE ALONG LINES OF JAPANESE
FORMULATION OR MORE GENERAL CONFERENCE RECOMMENDATION
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 GENEVA 03654 02 OF 02 191520Z

TO CONSIDER PRACTICAL MEANS OF STRENGTHENING NNWS SECUR-
RITY

12. COMPREHENSIVE TEST BAN. CTB IS HAPING UP AS MOST
DIFFICULT COMMITTEE I ISSUE. ALTHOUGH MEXICAN PROTOCOL
HAS LITTLE SUPPORT, WE NEVERTHELESS CAN UNDOUBTEDLY
EXPECT PERSISTENT ATTEMPTS TO GET US TO ADVANCE OUR
POSITION BY ACCEPTING STRONG CONFERENCE RECOMMENDATION
IN FORM OF RESOLUTUION OR FINAL DECLARATION. SUCH A
RECOMMENDATION MIGHT, FOR EXAMPLE, CALL FOR A SPECIFIC
DEADLINE OR TIMETABLE FOR CONCLUSION OF CTB (AS SOME
DELS HAVE SUGGESTED). UNLIKE SALT (WHERE SOVIET BLOCK
AND MANY WEOS WILL STAND WITH US) OR NON-USE (WHERE
WE HAVE AT LEAST TACIT SUPPORT OF NATO), SUPPORT FOR
OUR POSITION ON TEST BAN IS HIGHLY UNCERTAIN FACTOR.
AUSTRALIANS, NEW ZEALANDERS, CANADIANS, AND DUTCH HAVE
ALREADY INDICATED THEY WANT CONFERENCE TO GIVE STRONG
PUSH TO CTB, AND THAT THEY BELIEVE PRESENT FLRMULA IN
WEO TEXT (WHICH THEY ACQUIESCED IN FOR PRESENT PURPOSES
TO KEEP US ABOARD) IS TOO WEAK. WE CAN EXPECT THESE
DELS TO SUPPORT NON-ALIGNED PRESSURE FOR STRONGER
FORMULA AS NEGOTIATIONS WITH NON-ALIGNED PROCEED
FURTHER. SOVIET BLOC COULD CONCEIVABLY GO ALONG WITH
TOUGH RECOMMENDATION IF IT WERE CONSISTENT WITH POSI-
TIONS THEY HAVE TAKEN IN PAST. THERE IS REAL RISK
THEREFORE, THAT, IF VOTE TAKEN ON SUCH A RECOMMENDA-
TION, WE WOULD NOT GET SUPPORT OF ONE-THIRD
NEEDED TO BLOCK ADOPTION OF RECOMMENDATION. WE WILL
SEEK GUIDANCE ON POSSIBLE COMPROMISE FORMULAS WHEN
SITUATION CLARIFIES. DALE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: NPT, COMMITTEE MEETINGS, SALT (ARMS CONTROL)
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 19 MAY 1975
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: GolinoFR
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975GENEVA03654
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: N/A
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D750175-0735
From: GENEVA
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750540/aaaabjsa.tel
Line Count: 316
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ACTION ACDA
Original Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 6
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: GolinoFR
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 01 APR 2003
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <01 APR 2003 by ElyME>; APPROVED <07 APR 2003 by GolinoFR>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
05 JUL 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: NPT REVCON: COMMITTEE I SITUATION LIMITED OFFICIAL USE
TAGS: PARM
To: STATE
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006